

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,770	01/12/2001	Albert Young	3COM-3348.WHD.US.P 9656	
7.	590 01/25/2005	EXAMINER		
WAGNER, M Third Floor	TURABITO & HAO	NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
Two North Ma	rket Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	95113	2661	****	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/759,7	70	YOUNG ET AL.				
		Examine	г	Art Unit				
		Brian D N	lguyen	2661				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communica	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ay be available under the provisions of 3 from the mailing date of this communi specified above is less than thirty (30) of its specified above, the maximum statute the set or extended period for reply will be the Office later than three months after djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  TOTE TOTE TOTE  TOTE TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  TOTE  T	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed	on 20 September	2004.					
· <u> </u>								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ms							
4a) Of the a 5)	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 11-19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6,10,20-26 and 30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 7-9 and 27-29 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
10)⊠ The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	cation is objected to by the Eg(s) filed on 12 January 200 ay not request that any objection drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to be	$\frac{1}{2}$ is/are: a) $\square$ according to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119							
a)	gment is made of a claim for Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority do ified copies of the priority do ies of the certified copies of ication from the International ched detailed Office action from the Internation of the the Internati	cuments have been cuments have been the priority documents laureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/759,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-6, 10, 21-26, and 30 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Seppala (6,747,968). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10, 20, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 30 recite the limitation "the overall traffic rate" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the overall traffic rate" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "said point coordinator" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2661

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 5-6, 10, 21, 25-26, and 30are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Seppala et al (6,747,968).

Regarding claim 1, Seppala discloses, in a communication network (WLAN) including an access point (14) and a plurality of stations (12), a method of accessing the communication network, the access point and the plurality of stations operating under a distributed coordination function (DCF) access mechanism as a default access mechanism, comprising steps of: automatically monitoring load conditions over the communication network (see col. 2, lines 56-67; col. 4, lines 41-49; and col. 5, lines 43-60 where traffic is monitored); automatically analyzing the load conditions to determine which access mechanism, the DCF access mechanism or a point coordination function (PCF) access mechanism, is most appropriate for the load conditions (see col. 2, lines 56-67 and col. 5, lines 43-60 where different data are placed in different queues and col. 2, lines 13-16 where DCF may be used for Best Effort traffic delivery and PCF may be used for Real Time traffic delivery); dynamically enabling the PCF access mechanism when the PCF access mechanism is most appropriate for the load conditions; and dynamically disabling the PCF access mechanism when the DCF access mechanism is most appropriate for the load conditions (see col. 2, lines 13-16 where DCF and PCF are coexisted. Note that when one mechanism is enabled, the other must be disabled).

Regarding claims 5-6, Seppala discloses a point coordinator and the use of the IEEE 802.11 protocol (see col. 1, lines 34-36 and 59-60).

Regarding claim 10, Seppala discloses factors at least comprising the overall traffic rate (an amount of bandwidth consumed by at least one WLAN terminal) and the destination of the data frames located at the access point (an amount of real time traffic destined from or to at least one WLAN terminal) (see col. 2, lines 56-67).

Regarding claims 21, 25-26, and 30, claims 21, 25-26, and 30 have substantially the same limitations as the respective method claims 1, 5-6, and 10. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-4 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seppala (6,747,968).

Regarding claims 2-4, Seppala does not specifically disclose monitoring and analyzing are implemented continually, periodically, or asynchronously. However, to monitor and analyze the load condition in any of the well-known ways is a matter of choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to continually, periodically, or asynchronously monitor and analyze the load condition in order to meet a particular application.

Regarding claims 22-24, claims 22-24 have substantially the same limitations as the respective method claims 12-4. Therefore, they are subject to the same rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 11-19 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 7-9 and 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fogle (6,813,260) and Agrawal et al (6,721,331).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,770

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

1/20/05

BRIAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER